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Abstract
According to the urge in demand of wireless body area network (WBAN), there are many researches on WBAN based on standard IEEE 802.15.6. These researches focused on physical layer, media access control (MAC) layer and network layer based on IEEE 802.15.6. Since network topology in the standard is defined as one hop star plus one, the previous research focused on one hop star topology and a multiple hop technic wasn’t applied to WBAN. Based on network topology of WBAN, a cluster-based meaning two hops technic is considered in this paper. In cluster-based WBAN, a cluster header (CH) forward the received data packet from a sensor to the coordinator, therefore, an over concentration that is a reason of deterioration of performance, can be avoided and then the performance of system is improved. However, the optimal access probability that can achieve the highest throughput is changed depending on the number of clusters. The optimization method of access probability is proposed and the calculation result shows that the cluster-based topology system outperforms one hop star topology.
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1. Introduction
Nowadays, many countries face elderly population (persons 65 years old and over), as number of senior citizens increasing all over the world. In order to survey health situation of elderly peoples under the limited financial resources and current medical service, the remote monitoring of body status and the surrounding environment is becoming more important. Furthermore, since many body functions are traditionally monitored and separated by a considerable period of time, it is hard for doctor to know what is really happening. This is reason why the monitoring of move ment and all body function in daily life are essential. One of the monitoring system is wireless body area network (WBAN). AWBAN consists of interconnected sensors, either placed around body or small enough to be placed inside the body. These sensors continuously monitor data and send it to coordinator; Coordinator gathers data of all sensor and sends to Healthcare center through existing network.

AWBAN system can be divided into 2 schemes; scheme 1: all sensors transmit the signal directly to the coordinator, scheme 2: the sensor transmits the signal to the coordinator via multiple hops [1-5]. In scheme 1, the sensor should use high power to transmit the signal
because the coordinator isn’t always close to. Therefore, the life time of sensors becomes shorter and each sensor causes the interference to other sensors in its area. Moreover, the connection between sensors and the coordinator may be fails due to the disruption of the body, especially when the human is moving. Whereas, in scheme 2, since each sensor transmits the signal to the neighbor sensor, the transmit power, the transmit area and the effective area are small. Therefore, the number of interfered sensors decreases and the lifetime of sensors increases. In additional, even the direct connection between the sensor to the coordinator is failed, the sensor can transmits to the coordinator via other sensors that connects to the coordinator. Therefore, in this paper, the multiple hops WBAN system is considered to analyze.

The multiple hops system is being researched in many literatures of many fields, e.g. adhoc network, mobile network, ITS system and soon [6-11]. However, in these systems, sender(s) send the signal to receiver(s) via relay(s) and the relay just forwards the signal. In WBAN systems, each sensor forwards the signal while monitoring the situation of body and generating the vital signal by itself. In addition, the CSMA/CA based on standard IEEE 802.15.6 is assumed [12-18]. According to the definition of network topology in standard, two hops topology meaning cluster-based is considered. Member sensors transmit the data packet to their CH and CHs forward the received data packets to the coordinator. Since the cluster-based wireless sensors network is known as an energy-efficiency topology and be researched in many literatures, in this paper, we focus on only the throughput of system. An access probability of all sensors is optimized to obtain the maximum throughput and the throughput of cluster-based WBAN is compared to that of one hop star topology.

The rest of paper is organized as follows. We introduce the concept of multiple hops WBAN system and analyze the throughput of 1 hop star WBAN in Section 2. Section 3 shows the system model and the throughput of cluster-based CSMA/CA WBAN system. Finally, Section 4 concludes the paper.

2. One-hop Star Topology for BAN

2.1. System Model
Figure 1 shows an example for WBAN system. There are a lot of sensors that distributed around the body to monitor the health situation. All sensors transmit the vital data toward the coordinator. In BAN system based on one-hop star topology, all sensors transmit directly its data to the coordinator. The vital data packet is generated at each sensor by its access probability. The carrier sense multiple access with collision avoidance (CSMA/CA) based on IEEE 802.15.6 is applied. After receiving the information data from the coordinator, the sensor can estimates a distance, a channel condition between the coordinator and itself. Thus the sensor adjusts its transmit power suitably to transmit the signal to the coordinator. Suitable transmit power means the signal to noise ratio (SNR) at receivers it equal to the threshold of desired SNR ($d_{SNR_{thres}}$).

2.2 CSMA/CA based on IEEE 802.15.6

Figure 2. An Example of IEEE 802.15.6CSMA/CA Procedure

The outline of CSMA/CA based on IEEE 802.15.6 is described as follows, further details about the CSMA/CA procedure can be found in the standard [10]. As shown in Figure 2, in CSMA/CA, all sensors set its backoff counter to a random integer number uniformly distributed over the interval $[1, CW]$ where CW is within $(CW_{min}, CW_{max})$. The values of $CW_{min}$ and $CW_{max}$ vary depending on the user priorities as given in Table 1. A sensor starts decrementing the backoff counter by one for each idle CSMA slot of duration equal to $p_{CSMA_{SlotLength}}$. Once the backoff counter reaches zero, the sensor transmits the frame. If the channel is busy because of a frame transmission, the sensor locks its backoff counter until the channel is idle. A sensor senses that the channel is busy if the SNR of received signal is higher than the threshold of effective SNR ($e_{SNR_{thres}}$). Normally, $d_{SNR_{thres}} > e_{SNR_{thres}}$. The transmission is failed if the sensor fails to receive an acknowledgement or group acknowledgement, however, in this paper, the sensor is assumed to transmit frame one by one. The CW is doubled for even number of failures. The CW is doubled until it reaches $CW_{max}$. Once the data transmission is successful, the CW is set to $CW_{min}$.

The maximum through put is defined as the maximum number of MAC Layer Service Data Units (MSDUs) that can be transmitted in a unit time. Each MSDU carries additional overhead at MAC and PHY layers such as PHY preamble and PHY/MAC headers, control frames, inter frame spacing, and the backoff time. This additional over head affects the Maximum Through put of the network. Since all sensors can control its transmit power suitably to transmit the signal to the coordinator, the bit error rate (BER) is assumed to be considerably small and can be negligible. We assume that there are no packet losses due to buffer overflow.
The service time \( (T) \) is defined as total time to transmit a packet included the backoff time \( (T_{CW}) \), the time to transmit a data packet \( (T_{DATA}) \), interframes pacing \( (T_{pSIFS}) \), the time of acknowledgement packet \( (T_{ACK}) \) and delay time \( (\alpha) \).

\[
T = T_{CW} + T_{DATA} + T_{ACK} + 2T_{pSIFS} + 2\alpha
\]

(1)

\( T_s \) denotes a CSMA slot length. Hence,

\[
T_{CW} = \frac{CW_{min}T_s}{2}
\]

(2)

Since a data packet consists a preamble \( (T_p) \), physical header \( (T_{PHY}) \), MAC header \( (T_{MAC}) \), MAC frame body \( (T_{BODY}) \) and frame check sequence \( (T_{FCS}) \), the time to transmit a data packet is represented as follows.

\[
T_{DATA} = T_p + T_{PHY} + T_{MAC} + T_{BODY} + T_{FCS}
\]

(3)

Since an immediate acknowledgement carries no payload, its transmission time is given by

\[
T_{ACK} = T_p + T_{PHY} + T_{MAC} + T_{FCS}
\]

(4)

2.3. Analysis Performance

The number of sensor on the body is denoted by \( N \), and each sensor randomly and independently accesses as lot time with probability \( \tau \). In this assumption, the probability \( P_{idle} \) that no sensor accesses a given slot is readily given by

\[
P_{idle} = (1-\tau)^N
\]

(5)

Similarly, the probability \( P_{suc} \) that just one sensor accesses a given slot is expressed as

\[
P_{suc} = N\tau(1-\tau)^{N-1}
\]

(6)

The collision time \( T_c \) is defined as the duration of a period in which other stations cannot access the channel because a collision is occurring. However, as mentioned above, the sensor transmits the signal to the coordinator and waits for ACK packet from the coordinator. In case of collision, there is no ACK packet replied to the sensor, and then the sensor starts count down sits backoff time. It means the service time \( T \) and the collision time \( T_c \) are almost the same. In this paper, we assume \( T=T_c \). Since a system slot-time \( T_s \) elapses during an idle slot, we can derive the average slot duration.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Userpriority</th>
<th>( CW_{min} )</th>
<th>( CW_{max} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
as follows.

\[ E[\text{slot}] = P_{idle} T_s + P_{suc} T_s + (1 - P_{suc} - P_{idle}) T_c. \]  

(7)

Finally, the system throughput \( Thro \) as the average amount of information transmitted in to a slot. Note that \( E[P] \) is the average MAC frame body size.

\[
Thro = \frac{P_{suc} E[P]}{E[\text{slot}]},
\]

\[
= \frac{P_{suc} E[P]}{P_{idle} T_s + P_{suc} T_s + (1 - P_{suc} - P_{idle}) T_c}.
\]  

(8)

The throughput above is maximized as long as we minimize the term:

\[
f = P_{idle} (T_s - T_c) + T_c.
\]

(9)

The optimal value \( \tau_{opti} \) that maximizes the system throughput is given by the solution of the equality

\[
\frac{\partial f}{\partial \tau} = 0
\]

\[
(1 - \tau_{opti})^N T_c = T_s \left( N \tau_{opti}^N - \left(1 - \tau_{opti}^N\right)\right) = 0
\]  

(10)

Under the condition \( \tau << 1 \), the approximation:

\[
(1 - \tau_{opti})^N \approx 1 - N \tau_{opti}^N + \frac{N(N-1)}{2} \tau_{opti}^2,
\]

holds, and hence the optimal value \( \tau_{opti} \) can be found

\[
\tau_{opti} = \frac{1}{N} \sqrt{\frac{T_c}{2T_s}}.
\]  

(12)

2.4. Numerical Evaluation for One-Hop System

In order to evaluate the theoretical analysis, the parameter that is summarized in Table 2, is used as an example.

Figure 3 shows the throughput of system when the \( \tau \) is changed. The number of sensors is changed, \( i.e., 5, 10, 15, 20 \). For each number of sensors, there are the optimal \( \tau \) that reaches the maximum of throughput. The higher the number of sensors is, the lower the optimal \( \tau \) is. It can be also recognized from Figure 4, the optimal \( \tau \) is calculated by (12) when the number of sensors increases. It means each sensor can transmit its packet with high probability when the number of sensors is small. On the other hand, as shown in Figures 3 and 4, when the number of sensors changes, the optimal \( \tau \) is changed, however the maximum throughputs are almost the same. Even the sum of \( \tau \) fall sensors is fixed, the throughput decreases when the number of sensors increases. It means that even the access probability of each sensor is fixed, cluster-based topology, a sensor transmits the signal to cluster header instead of the coordinator, hence the number of sensors that accesses to the coordinator decreases and the throughput of system is expected to increase. Moreover, in one-hop star topology, to transmit
directly to the coordinator, the transmit power of all sensor is high, especially the sensor is far from the coordinator. Additionally, some direct links from sensors to the coordinator maybe interrupted due to disturbance of body. Hence, the cluster-based topology should be considered.

**Table 2. Numerical Parameters**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Frequency band [MHz]</td>
<td>2400-2483.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Packet component modulation</td>
<td>PSDU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symbol rate $R_s$ [ksps]</td>
<td>$\pi/2$-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data rate $R_{hdr}$ [kbps]</td>
<td>242.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payload size [byte]</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum contention windows $CW_{min}$</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum contention windows $CW_{max}$</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clear channel assessment [bits]</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAC header [bits]</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAC footer [bits]</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum interframe spacing time $[\mu s]$</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short interframe spacing time $Tsifs[\mu s]$</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preamble [bits]</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propagation delay $[\mu s]$</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 3. The Throughput of Some Numbers of Sensors, i.e., 5, 10, 15, 20**
3. Cluster-Based Topology System

3.1. System Model of Cluster-based Topology

The system model of cluster-based topology is the same as the system model of one-hop star topology that is described in Section 2.1. However, in cluster-based topology, a sensor can transmit the signal to its cluster header (CH) instead of the coordinator (Figure 5). Since all sensors generate a packet of vital data and transmit forward the coordinator via the CH, the transmit power of all sensors and the throughput is expected to be improved. We assume that the transmission in a cluster is not effective to sensors in other clusters. After receiving the packet from a sensor, the CH immediately forwards the signal based on CSMA/CA algorithm of IEEE 802.15.6. The access probability $\tau$ of all sensors in cluster-based topology is assumed to be the same as the access probability of one-hop topology.

![Figure 4. $T_{opt}$ for each Number of Sensors](image-url)
3.2. Optimizing access probability for WBAN

The number of sensors in each cluster (Not includes the CH) and the number of cluster are denoted by $N_s$ and $N_c$, respectively. Thus

$$N_s = \frac{N}{N_c} - 1$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)

Each cluster can be considered as a none-hop star topology that was explained above. Therefore, the access probability of CH is described as follows.

$$\tau_c = P_{\text{success}}^{\text{cluster}} \tau + \tau_c$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)

Here $P_{\text{success}}^{\text{cluster}} = N_s \tau (1 - \tau)^{N_s - 1}$ denotes the successful probability of all sensors in one cluster.

All CHs and the coordinator constructs an one-hop star topology, therefore, the optimal access probability for each CH can be calculated as (12). From (12) and (14), we have

$$\frac{1}{N_c \sqrt{\frac{T}{2T_s}}} = P_{\text{success}}^{\text{cluster}} \tau + \tau_c$$  \hspace{1cm} (15)

Therefore, the optimal access probability of all sensors in cluster-based topology is represented as
Notice that $\tau_{opti}$ is the optimal access probability of sensor in one-hop star topology in (12).

3.3. Numerical Evaluation for Cluster-Based Topology

The system model of cluster-based topology is the same as the original WBAN system (Table 2). The number of all sensors is 50 and the number of clusters is changed. Figures 6 and 7 show comparing the optimal access

![Figure 6. The Optimal Access Probability](image)

Figure 6. The Optimal Access Probability

Probability and the throughput of one-hop star topology to cluster-based topology, respectively. As shown in Figure 6, the optimal access probability of cluster-based topology is higher than that of one-hop star topology. The sensors in cluster-based can transmit with higher access probability because they transmit to their CH, therefore the collision is small.

With the higher access probability, the throughput of cluster-based topology is also higher than that of one-hop star topology, especially when the number of clusters is small (Figure 7).
4. Conclusion

In this paper, the WBAN with CSMA/CA protocol based on standard IEEE 802.15.6 was analyzed. The access probability of all sensors was optimized to obtain the highest throughput based on both one hop star topology and cluster-based topology. As proposed system model, the throughput of cluster-based topology is higher than that of one hop star topology. However, in the data packets that transmitted to the coordinator, the data packets of CHs is estimated to occupy the high percent, especially when the number of clusters is low. The fairness of transmission data for all sensors is left to the future work.
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