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Abstract

Container transportation has gradually become the main direction of the development of shipping today. With the ship’s large-scale, specialization and modernization, the development of handling capacity of modern container terminal plays a key role in low-cost transportation, and efficient circulation. Automated container terminal can not only improve the utilization rate of equipment, reduce operating costs, but also greatly improves the efficiency of terminal. This paper analyzes the efficient and economical automation container terminal based on the frame-bridge handling technology of transport vehicles independently developed by the ZPMC. And on this basis put forward an improvement of handling technology, and compare models created to validate the superiority of its improvement plan.
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1. Introduction

With the container shipping volume increased significantly all over the world and the trend of large container ships, how to effectively improve the efficiency of stevedoring vessels, reducing operating costs has become the focus of the industry’s workers [1]. In this situation, planning personnel have also accepted the idea of automation container terminal design and many domestic and foreign experts and scholars who contribute positively to the automated terminal handling technology research has also focused on it, such as Zhu Minghua [2] analyzed in detail by double 40ft container gantry cranes, low bridges and rail distribution system consisting of a new type of handling technology in automatic container terminal. Analysis of operation characteristics of quayside and low-bridge loading and unloading operations of the new technology system, and calculate the operating efficiency of critical process equipments. Finally, the simulation proved its effectiveness. Liu C I [3] analyzed the worldwide major ports in the application of advanced handling equipment, and analyzed ZPMC independent research for efficient economical automated container terminals, AGV-based and ALV-based automated container terminal handling process by the simulation. Shi Fei, Zhang Xinyan, et al. [4] for the port’s future expected amount of work to calculate the automated container terminal handling equipment inside each number, and determine the overall layout of the pier, on the basis of the pier, the unloading processes were simulated, and verify that the average working time of unloading meet the pre-set amount of port operations. Wang Wei, et al. [5] based on the traditional container terminal handling technology, introduce the combination of line-based automated bridges and rail gantry. Automatic carrier (AGV) cooperates with rail gantry crane; DRMG and CRMG based
handling technology of automated container terminal. Zhaoyan Hu [6] described a new type of structure, characteristics, work process of bridge crane, automated container stacking technology and some several key technical issues. Presents a new automated warehousing technology automated container handling technology. Lu Zhen, et al. [7] analyze two different kinds of automated container terminal, and transport systems were compared and analyzed by establishing evaluation index. Finally, advantages and disadvantages of these two solutions are verified by simulation. Hyo Young Bae [8] the level of transport system based on AGV and ALV are compared and analyzed. By adjusting the mechanical equipment operation rate obtain the compared model of these two transportation system. When the double trolley quayside container cranes are adopted, the efficiency of ALV is much higher than that of AGV.

Based on the previous studies, this paper presents a new type of horizontal transport system based on multi-layer frame bridge. Wharf Apron mainly utilize the combination of high and low frame bridges, and the yard also adopt multi-layers frame bridge. The transport vehicles can interfere with each other freely on these layers between the shore and yard. And in this transport system, the adjustment of the layout of the yard, to reduce the moving distance of the ARMG, so as to improve operating efficiency of the ARMG. It also can improve the utilization of the yard. Finally, through the case studies, obtain handling efficiency of these two terminals with the different layers of frame bridges, and prove that the new type of handing technology can improve the handling efficiency.

2. Automated Container Terminal Handling Technology Analysis

2.1. Design and layout

The new handing technology of the ACT is different from the technology developed by the ZPMC. Its frame bridge is multi-layered, the frame bridge layers coordinate with the number of transport vehicles each other in order to achieve maximum operational efficiency.

A half track layout scheme in each storage yard is not only occupying the yard space resource, but also hindering the travelling of horizontal transport equipment. The multilayer is set up to assure that the loading, unloading and collection operations are processing at the same time, and the transport vehicles can travel with no interference on the frame bridges, effectively avoid Relay operations, reducing the field of bridge carts running distance. It also effectively avoids the relay operation of yard crane, and reduces the running distance of yard bridge crane. Moreover, a plurality of transport channels can be selected from the front to the container yard areas. It effectively reduces the waiting situation, so as to reduce the loading and unloading time. The frame bridge of wharf and yard area are shown in Figure 1:

![Schematic diagram of the frame bridges](image)
Yard layout is also improved by utilizing the scheme, which adopt left and right stacking plan and set multistory frame bridge rail intermediately from the quayside to the yard area. Such as the external trucks can complete the collection without entering the yard, it directly put the container on the behind of the container yard, then the vehicles transport to the inside yard. Frame bridges of the yard setting in the middle can reduce the moving distance of the trolley of yard crane in the horizontal direction. A multi-layers frame bridge replacing one and a half of single track improve the space utilization, especially when the longitudinal length of the pier is relatively long. The layout of new type of automated container terminal is shown in Figure 2:

![Figure 2. Layout of container Terminal](image)

**2.2. Introduction of handling technology**

The loading and unloading process as an example, container ship berthing firstly, the crane will hoisted containers from the ship and then sent to the crane frame platform while unlocking container lock artificially (it was only manned operation of automated terminal). Then the landside trolley lift the container to the low bridge transport vehicle (LV), the container will be transported to the designated position of transfer container area, the low bridge crane is responsible for shifting the container to the yard transport vehicle (YV). YV carry the container into the designated slit of yard area. When the yard crane arrives, the container will be transported to the specified position. The loading and unloading process is similar to the inverse process. The flow chart of one loading and unloading cycle is shown in Figure 3.
Handling and transportation equipment of automated container terminal are shown in Table 1. Quay quayside adopt container crane with dual 40 ft double-trolley, it can also grab two 40-foot containers or eight 20-foot containers. Horizontal transport equipment along the coastline adopts low bridge cranes and low bridge transport vehicles, and the perpendicular direction use yard transport vehicles. In the back yard, gantry cranes are adopted. Its device parameters as follows:

### Table 1. Parameters of equipment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Equipment</th>
<th>Running speed</th>
<th>Acceleration time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lifting Equipment</td>
<td>Dual 40ft QC</td>
<td>1.25 m/s</td>
<td>0.75 m/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>YCs</td>
<td>0.5 m/s</td>
<td>1.67 m/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Low bridge crane</td>
<td>0.5 m/s</td>
<td>2 m/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horizontal</td>
<td>LV</td>
<td>5 m/s</td>
<td>8 s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>transport vehicle</td>
<td>YV</td>
<td>5 m/s</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 2.3. Features of Handling Technology

(1) In the actual operation process, loading, unloading, collection and fetching are simultaneous. Using of multi-story frame bridges, the transport vehicles can shuttle on
the arbitrary story, which can avoid the waiting problems caused by operation of equipments at the same time.

(2) The utilization rate of yard space is improved obviously. Yard adopt symmetrical layout of each block, and arrange multi-layer frame bridge in the middle of each block. This layout is not only improving the utilization of storage space greatly, but also reducing the moving distance of trolley of the yard crane in the direction parallel to the shoreline.

(3) The external trucks do not enter the yard during collection but directly lift the container to the yard transport vehicle by yard crane behind the container block. So the yard need not set the lanes, not only improves the utilization of yard, reduce cost, but also conducive to the realization of full automatic operation in the yard.

3. Performance Analyses

3.1. Comparison of stockpiling capacity

Suppose area of two ACT is equal to each other. Yard layout of two kinds of automated container terminal is shown in Figure 4. Span width of YCs is W, vertical length of the block is $L$, the length of the container is $L_{TEU}$, width and height of the container are equal is $W_{TEU}$. The number of containers is $W/W_{TEU}$ along the shoreline; the number of containers is $L/L_{TEU}$ vertical shoreline. The number of container is $H$ in the height direction. Then the two yard contains the number of containers are:

$$C_{FB} = \frac{B}{W} \cdot \frac{L}{L_{TEU}} \cdot \left( \frac{W}{W_{TEU}} - 3 \right) \cdot H$$

(1)

$$C_{FB}' = \frac{B'}{W'} \cdot \frac{L}{L_{TEU}} \cdot \left( \frac{W'}{W_{TEU}} - 2 \right) \cdot H$$

(2)

Which $C_{FB}$ means that the original proposal storage capacity, $C_{FB}'$ means the new type storage capacity.

Figure 4. The number of containers contained by two kinds of block

For fair comparison, the number of bay of two ACT is equal, and then the two container storage capacity ratio is $\frac{C_{FB}}{C_{FB}} = \frac{W/W_{TEU} - 3}{W/W_{TEU} - 2}$. If we take the number of container in each row is 14 within one block, the annual container throughput of traditional plan is 2 million TEU, the new can accommodate 2.18 million TEU, stockpiling capacity increased by 9%.
3.2. Comparison of Horizontal Transport Efficiency

(1) Establish transport efficiency indicators

In order to complete a container a cyclic process of handling operations, regardless of shipping or unloading operations, must go through this cycle. The cycle time required can be expressed as follows:

\[ T_c = h_{QC} + h_{YCS} + T_{YV} + T_{LV} \]  

(3)

Which, \( h_{QC} \) represents the handling time of QCs as well as the waiting time of LVs for QC complete the operation. \( h_{YCS} \) represents the handling time of YCs as well as the waiting time of YV for YC complete the operation. \( T_{YV} \) indicates the travelling time of YV to complete a handling cycle, i.e. \( T_{YV} = 2t_{YV} + w_{YV} \). \( T_{LV} \) indicates the travelling time of LV to complete a loading/unloading job cycle, i.e. \( T_{LV} = 2t_{LV} + w_{LV} \). \( w_{YV} \) and \( w_{LV} \) are the waiting time of YV and LV for the TP. The cycle can be split into two parts, one is YV to complete a job cycle and the other is LV to complete a job cycle. These two parts can be expressed as:

\[ O_{YV} = 2t_{YV} + w_{YV} + h_{YCS} \]  

(4)

\[ O_{LV} = 2t_{LV} + w_{LV} + h_{QC} \]  

(5)

1) Calculate \( t_{YV} \), which compute A and B distance between two points in Figure 5. As the transport vehicles parked in the designated position beside the frame bridge in each block, it should adopt a discrete variable method to calculate the expected value. \( L_{AB} \) is calculated as follows:

\[ O_A = \sum_{i=1}^{r} i \cdot L_{FB} \cdot \frac{1}{r} \]  

(6)

\[ O_B = \sum_{i=1}^{n} i \cdot L_{TEU} \cdot \frac{1}{n} \]  

(7)

Where \( n \) is the number of containers in the vertical direction of shoreline, \( n = L/L_{TEU} \); \( L_{FB} \) is each column width of the bridge framework, and \( L_{FB} = F/r \); \( r \) is the number of columns of the bridge frame, i.e. \( d_{YV} \) and \( d'_{YV} \) can be expressed as follows:

\[ d_{YV} = d'_{YV} = O_A + O_B = \sum_{i=1}^{r} i \cdot L_{FB} \cdot \frac{1}{r} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} i \cdot L_{TEU} \cdot \frac{1}{n} \]  

(8)

According to the formulation \( t_{YV} = d_{YV} / v_{YV} \), we can obtain \( t_{YV} \) and \( t'_{YV} \).

2) Calculate \( t_{LV} \), assuming the lifting and fetch occurred in the A point. The running distance from an arbitrary point P to point A is \( d_{LY} \). A and P are respectively with N kinds of possible positions, so (A, P) have \( N^2 \) possibilities. The means distance between two points A, P is calculated as follows:

\[ d_{LV} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left\{ \left( \sum_{j=0}^{N-i} j + \sum_{k=1}^{i} k \right) \frac{B}{N} \cdot \frac{1}{N} \right\} \cdot \frac{1}{N} \]  

(9)
Similarly, we have \( d'_{LT} \):

\[
d_{LV}' = \sum_{i=0}^{2N} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{i} \sum_{k=0}^{i+j} \right) \cdot \frac{B}{2N} \cdot \frac{1}{2N}
\]

(10)

According to the formulation \( t_{LV}=d_{LV}/v_{LV} \), we can obtain \( t_{LV} \) and \( t'_{LV} \).

3) Calculate the waiting time of \( w_{LV} \), the running process of LV is simplified as Figure 7. Queuing theory is established to solve the model [9]. This process can be expressed as M/M/S model, the first \( M \) mean that the arriving process of LV (Poisson) is Poisson flow, second \( M \) represents the LV service process time obey negative exponential distribution, S presents the service system include S service platform, and, where \( s_{YV} \) is the layer of the rail in the yard.

Figure 5. The calculation of \( d_{LV} \) and \( d_{LV}' \)

Figure 6. The calculation of \( d'_{YV} \) and \( d'_{LV} \)
According to mean waiting time formula of M/M/S queuing theory model, we can get the average waiting time $w_{LV}$:

$$w_{LV} = \frac{(Sp)^S}{S!} \left[ (1-\rho) \sum_{n=0}^{S-1} \frac{(Sp)^n}{n!} + \frac{(Sp)^S}{S!} \right]^{-1} \cdot \frac{t}{(1-\rho) \cdot S}$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

Where, $\rho = (\lambda \cdot t) \cdot S$ indicates the traffic intensity of the yard; $\lambda$ indicates the average arrival rate of $LV$; $t = 2t_{YV} + h_{YCS}$ indicates the time from $YV$ leaving TP to coming TP once again.

Assuming in a long period of operation time $T$, the number of job cycle that one $LV$ complete is $T/O_{LV}$. Then the total number of cycles in each column of frame bridges is $M_{LV} \cdot s_{LV} \cdot T / O_{LV}$, at the same time one TP need to complete $M_{LV} \cdot s_{LV} \cdot T / (M_{TP} \cdot O_{LV})$ cycles. Wherein, $M_{LV}$ is the number of $LV$ in each column and each story; $s_{LV}$ is the number of umber of stories of frame bridge in quayside. The average rate of $LV$ can be got through the above analysis, i.e. the number of $LV$ reach to the TP in a unit of time is shown as:

$$\lambda = \frac{M_{LV} \cdot s_{LV}}{M_{TP} \cdot O_{LV}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

The traffic intensity $\rho$ presents the number of object reaching a service platform within a certain period of time $T$, it can be expressed as:

$$\rho = \frac{\lambda \cdot t}{S} = \frac{M_{LV} \cdot s_{LV} \cdot t}{M_{TP} \cdot O_{LV} \cdot S} = \frac{M_{LV} \cdot s_{LV} \cdot t}{M_{TP} \cdot (2t_{LV} + w_{LV} + h_{QC}) \cdot S}$$ \hspace{1cm} (13)

Combined with formula (12), (13) and equation (4), waiting time of $LV$, i.e. $w_{LV}$ can be obtained.

4) Calculation of $w_{YV}$, in the long period of time, $M_{YV} \cdot s_{YV} \cdot N$ $YVs$ and $M_{LV} \cdot r \cdot s_{LV}$ $LVs$ complete cycles of loading and unloading should be equal. Since the average efficiency of each transport vehicle respectively are $I/O_{YV}$ and $I/O_{LV}$, then $M_{YV} \cdot s_{YV} \cdot N / O_{YV} = M_{LV} \cdot r \cdot s_{LV} / O_{LV}$. Both sides of the equation can be expressed that the horizontal transport efficiency of the system, i.e. $M_{YV} \cdot s_{YV} \cdot N / (2t_{YV} + w_{YV} + h_{YCS}) = M_{LV} \cdot r \cdot s_{LV} / (2t_{LV} + w_{LV} + h_{QC})$. $w_{YV}$ can be obtained from this equation.

(2) Comparison of horizontal transport efficiency

Horizontal transport efficiency of the system can be presented as $M_{YV} \cdot s_{YV} \cdot N / O_{YV}$ and $M_{LV} \cdot r \cdot s_{LV} / O_{LV}$, and $O_{LV} = 2t_{LV} + w_{LV} + h_{QC}$, then $\eta_{FB}$ can be expressed as:

$$\eta_{FB} = \frac{M_{LV} \cdot r \cdot s_{LV}}{O_{LV}} = \frac{M_{LV} \cdot r \cdot s_{LV}}{(2t_{LV} + w_{LV} + h_{QC})}$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)
Use the same method to solve $\eta'_FB$, replace $s_{YV}, s_{LV}, M_{YV}$ and $h_{YC}$ with $s'_{YV}, s'_{LV}, M'_{YV}, h'_{YC}$.

4. Case Study

Through the efficiency obtained by the above, transport efficiency of two terminals can be compared in different conditions. Before the comparison, we determine the value of each parameter first [9], show as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2. Values of the parameters of two kinds of ACT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Original plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable values</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N=10$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$s_{YV}=1$; $s_{LV}=1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$r=5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$M_{TP}=2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$M_{LV}=2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$M_{YV}=1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L_{FB}=5m$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$h_{QC}=1min$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$h_{YC}=1min$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

According to the setting of parameters in Table 1 and Table 2, it could obtain comparison of transportation efficiency of two kinds of ACT using Matlab when the frame bridge with different layers and different number of LV in each layer of the frame bridge. But because the layers of frame bridges are limited by the height of yard crane and the quay crane, the layer of track in the block adopt up to 4 layers. The efficiency ratio values are shown in the Table 3:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3. Comparison of the horizontal transportation efficiency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\eta'<em>{FB}/\eta</em>{FB}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$M_{TP}=1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Layer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$s'_{YV}=2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$s'_{YV}=3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$s'_{YV}=4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$M_{TP}=2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Layer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$s'_{YV}=2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$s'_{YV}=3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$s'_{YV}=4$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In order to analyze the data more intuitive, the line chart is as follows:
The two chart shows, increasing the number of stories of frame bridges and the trolleys the level of transportation efficiency ratio has improved to a certain extent, because of the increased number of frame bridges, reduce the traffic jam situation. But with the increasing of the number of frame bridge layers and transport vehicles in each story and each column the ratio of transportation efficiency increase slowly, which can be analyzed, the waiting time of transport vehicles for receiving service is not the main bottleneck. In the presence of the number of yard crane and quay crane are the same, the average time that transport vehicles wait for the cranes become longer, and transportation efficiency will decline. When the number of transport vehicles is not large, efficiency was not improved significantly by increasing the number of TP, because the main bottleneck of the system is not the number of TP. On the contrary, increasing the equipment generate idle situation thus reducing the ratio of transport efficiency.

5. Conclusions

This paper presents a new type of layout and transport systems in automated container terminal. The index of storage capacity and the transportation efficiency are utilized to evaluate this system. Finally, through the case study, with the different frame bridge layers and the number of transport vehicle, comparison of transport efficiency is obtained. It proves that the scheme not only can improve the utilization rate of storage space, but also improve the efficiency of transportation equipment, so as to improve loading and unloading efficiency, and provides the reference and help for the equipment of selection in future port construction.
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